

ORIGINAL  
FILED

SEP 20 PM 2:53

RICHARD G. WIEKING  
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PYT

1 Matthew Franklin Jaksa (CA State Bar No. 248072)  
2 HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP  
3 560 Mission Street, 25<sup>th</sup> Floor  
4 San Francisco, CA 94105-2994  
5 Telephone: (415) 268-2000  
6 Facsimile: (415) 268-1999  
7 Email: matt.jaksa@hro.com

8  
9  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,  
11 BMG MUSIC; UMG RECORDINGS, INC.; SONY  
12 BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT; INTERSCOPE  
13 RECORDS; WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC.;  
14 and CAPITOL RECORDS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

C 07 4840

CASE NO.

**EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR LEAVE  
TO TAKE IMMEDIATE DISCOVERY**

13 BMG MUSIC, a New York general partnership;  
14 UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a Delaware  
corporation; SONY BMG MUSIC  
15 ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware general  
partnership; INTERSCOPE RECORDS, a  
16 California general partnership; WARNER  
BROS. RECORDS INC., a Delaware  
17 corporation; and CAPITOL RECORDS, INC., a  
18 Delaware corporation,

Plaintiffs,

v.

JOHN DOE #6,

Defendant.

1 Plaintiffs, through their undersigned counsel, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26  
2 and 45, the Declaration of Carlos Linares, and the authorities cited in the supporting Memorandum  
3 of Law, hereby apply *ex parte* for an Order permitting Plaintiffs to take immediate discovery.

4 In support thereof, Plaintiffs represent as follows:

5 1. Plaintiffs, record companies who own the copyrights in the most popular sound  
6 recordings in the United States, seek leave of the Court to serve limited, immediate discovery on a  
7 third party Internet Service Provider (“ISP”) to determine the true identity of Defendant John Doe #  
8 6 (“Defendant”), who is being sued for direct copyright infringement.

9 2. As alleged in the complaint, Defendant, without authorization, used an online media  
10 distribution system to download Plaintiffs’ copyrighted works and/or distribute copyrighted works to  
11 the public. Although Plaintiffs do not know the true name of Defendant, Plaintiffs have identified  
12 Defendant by a unique Internet Protocol (“IP”) address assigned to Defendant on the date and time  
13 of Defendant’s infringing activity.

14 3. Plaintiffs intend to serve a Rule 45 subpoena on the ISP seeking documents that  
15 identify Defendant’s true name, current (and permanent) address and telephone number, e-mail  
16 address, and Media Access Control (“MAC”) address. Without this information, Plaintiffs cannot  
17 identify Defendant or pursue their lawsuit to protect their copyrighted works from repeated  
18 infringement.

19 4. Good cause exists to allow Plaintiffs to conduct this limited discovery in advance of a  
20 Rule 26(f) conference where there are no known defendants with whom to confer.

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs apply *ex parte* for an Order permitting Plaintiffs to conduct the foregoing  
2 requested discovery immediately.

3 Dated: September 20, 2007

HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP

4 By:

5   
6 MATTHEW FRANKLIN JAKSA  
7 Attorney for Plaintiffs  
8 BMG MUSIC; UMG RECORDINGS,  
9 INC.; SONY BMG MUSIC  
10 ENTERTAINMENT; INTERSCOPE  
11 RECORDS; WARNER BROS.  
12 RECORDS INC.; and CAPITOL  
13 RECORDS, INC.

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28